



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--|
| 09/405,608 | 09/24/1999 | JACK J. SMITH | 0010-011 | 9679 | |
| 40972 | 7590 08/20/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| | HENNEMAN & SAUNDERS | | | VAUGHN JR, WILLIAM C | |
| 714 WEST MICHIGAN AVENUE THREE RIVERS, MI 49093 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| THREE RIV | EKS, WII 47075 | | 2143 | | |

DATE MAILED: 08/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/405,608 | SMITH ET AL. | |
| • | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| - * - Y | William C. Vaughn, Jr. | 2143 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the d | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 02 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this application in the same of th | cation. A proper re ch places the appli | ply to a cation in |
| PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. | See MPEP |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in | fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or | tension fee under (2) as set forth in |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>12 July 2004</u> . App 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | | | h in |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by | ecause: | | |
| (a) \square they raise new issues that would require furth | er consideration and/or search (| (see NOTE below); | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note | below); | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by mat | erially reducing or | simplifying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE: | ling a corresponding number of | finally rejected clai | ms. |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ction(s): | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a s | separate, timely file | d amendment |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See | | sidered but does NO | OT place the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which we | ere newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w | | | and an |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-47</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app | proved or b) disapproved by | the Examiner. | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | · 1 | |
| 10. Other: | William Patent E | C. Varaha | J-, |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Advisory Action

Part of Paper No. 17

Y

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the prior of record use in the rejection still reads upon the claims .